

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/528,552	03/20/2000	Clifford James Connors	021953.0290 (ET 99-38)	4973
29053	7590 01/14/2005		EXAMINER	
DALLAS OFFICE OF FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. 2200 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 2800 DALLAS, TX 75201-2784			RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/528,552	CONNORS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andy S. Rao	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tireply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	July 2004.					
	is action is non-final.					
·	_					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	B) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/528,552 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Request for Reconsideration

1. Applicant's arguments filed with respect to claims 1-40 on 7/27/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 3. Claims 1-40 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Morley et al., (hereinafter referred to as "Morley").
- 4. The Applicant presents two arguments contending the Examiner's rejection of claims 1-40 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Morley et al., (hereinafter referred to as

Art Unit: 2613

"Morley"). However, after a careful consideration of the arguments presented, the Examiner must respectfully disagree for the reasons that follow and maintain the grounds of rejection.

Firstly, the Applicants argue that Morley fails to disclose "... a display for receiving the image output and displaying a displayed image in the first spectral band..." as in the claims (Request for Reconsideration: page 7, lines 13-23: page 9, lines 13-25). The Examiner respectfully disagrees. It is note that element 76 is a display, but not the display in question that would read on claim. The displayed images are output through the image intensifier which has optics that are also associated with the citation in question (Morley: column 9, lines 24-35). This displayed image is discussed more in as the images that are output to the user for viewing (Morley: column 9, lines 65-67; column 10, lines 1-10). The fact that the images have to be overlaid is sufficient disclosure that they are sufficiently displayed to the user (Morley: column 9, lines 55-60), and reads on the limitation in question.

Lastly, the Applicants argue that Morley fails to disclose "generating a visual representation of the image at the display" and the "relaying" limitations as in the claims (Request for Reconsideration: page 8, lines 3-27; page 9, lines 1-14). The Examiner respectfully disagrees. It is noted that the electronic interface from the image as captured on the CCD (Morley: column 10, lines 20-25) is provided to the electronic interface for manipulation by some sort of attached electronic device. The related technology discloses that such a CCD is inherently associated with a display device such as a CRT (Morley: column 2, lines 55-60). Accordingly, the Examiner notes that this disclosure would also incorporate a like output as discussed in the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/528,552 Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy S. Rao whose telephone number is (703)-305-4813. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris S. Kelley can be reached on (703)-305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/528,552

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andy S. Rao Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613

ANDY RAO
PRIM/AY EXAMINER

Page 5

asr January 7, 2005